

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2447 OF 2005

Iqbal Dawood Shaikh ..Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

...

Mr.J.B.Mishra Advocate for Petitioner
Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh A.P.P. for State
Mr.Sageer A.Khan for Respondent No.4

...

CORAM: D.G.DESHPANDE AND
SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, JJ.

DATE : MARCH 27, 2006

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned advocate for the Petitioner, learned A.P.P. for State and learned advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. The Petitioner alleges that Respondent No.4 has committed forgery in respect of photopass. We have directed the petitioner to serve Respondent No.4. Respondents Nos. 4 and 5 are present. They are represented by the advocate. Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 4 and 5 states that photopass is

forged at the behest of the petitioner and they have filed the complaint against the petitioner. In view of this controversy, DCB CB Unit-3 Mumbai, is directed to make investigation into both the complaints and take proper action according to law. Learned A.P.P. has agreed to give copies of the report to both the parties within one week. Petition is disposed of.

[D.G.DESHPANDE, J.]

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J.]